

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Disk-annulus transition and localization in random non-Hermitian tridiagonal matrices

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 395204 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/39/395204) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.155 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:10

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 395204 (9pp)

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/39/395204

Disk-annulus transition and localization in random non-Hermitian tridiagonal matrices

L G Molinari^{1,2} and G Lacagnina²

¹ Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica, Via Celoria 16, Milano I-20133, Italy
 ² Istituto Nazionale de Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, Milano I-20133, Italy

E-mail: luca.molinari@mi.infn.it

Received 18 May 2009, in final form 21 July 2009 Published 8 September 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/395204

Abstract

Eigenvalues and localization of eigenvectors of non-Hermitian tridiagonal periodic random matrices are studied by means of the Hatano–Nelson deformation. The support of the spectrum undergoes a disk to annulus transition, with inner radius measured by the complex Thouless formula. The inner bounding circle and the annular halo are structures that correspond to the two arcs and wings observed by Hatano and Nelson in deformed Hermitian models, and are explained in terms of localization of eigenstates via a spectral duality and the argument principle. This disk-annulus transition is reminiscent of Feinberg and Zee's transition observed in full complex random matrices.

PACS numbers: 02.10.Yn, 72.15.Rn Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A52, 37H15, 15A90

1. Introduction

Hermitian tridiagonal random matrices are studied in great detail, and many results are available on spectral properties such as density, statistics and localization of eigenvectors. They appear in several models of physics, as Dyson's random chains, Anderson's models for transport in disordered potentials, Ising spin models with random couplings and β -ensembles of tridiagonal random matrices. Hatano and Nelson [1] introduced a beautiful method to study the localization of eigenvectors by forcing an asymmetry of upper and lower nondiagonal elements. Then the eigenvalues are driven from the real axis to curves in the complex plane, in patterns that measure the localization length of the corresponding eigenvectors.

Tridiagonal random matrices that are non-Hermitian from the start are less studied. They model systems with asymmetric hopping amplitudes [2–5], describe the properties of 1D random walks [6, 7] or the evolution of population biology [8]. Their spectrum is complex. In this work, we study how the Hatano–Nelson deformation modifies it, the occurrence of spectral curves and the connection with the localization of eigenvectors.

1751-8113/09/395204+09\$30.00 © 2009 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK



Figure 1. Eigenvalues in the complex plane of a single non-Hermitian tridiagonal matrix of size n = 800. The same matrix entries $\{a_k, b_k, c_k\}$ are used, with $\xi = 0$ and $\varphi = 0$ (left), and $\xi = 0.5$ and $\varphi = 0$ (right). Note that the eigenvalues out of the circle have the same positions in both the cases.

Let us then consider complex tridiagonal matrices with corners

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ c_2 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-1} \\ b_n & c_n & a_n \end{bmatrix},$$
 (1)

where all matrix elements are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) complex random variables. Here, we use the uniform distribution in the unitary disk of the complex plane. This implies that the eigenvalue density of the ensemble is only a function of the modulus of the eigenvalue. The eigenvalues of a sample matrix of size n = 800 are shown in figure 1 (left).

We next consider two deformations of the matrix M, by a complex parameter $z = e^{\xi + i\varphi}$:

$$M(z^{n}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & b_{1} & z^{n}c_{1} \\ c_{2} & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-1} \\ b_{n}/z^{n} & c_{n} & a_{n} \end{bmatrix},$$
(2)

$$M_{b}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & b_{1}/z & zc_{1} \\ zc_{2} & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-1}/z \\ b_{n}/z & zc_{n} & a_{n} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3)

The two matrices are similar, $M_b(z) = SM(z^n)S^{-1}$, through a diagonal matrix with entries $S_{ii} = z^i$. The balanced matrix $M_b(z)$ is more convenient for numerical work. Since the matrices share the same set of eigenvalues, a rotation of z by $2\pi/n$ does not change the eigenvalues of $M_b(z)$. The eigenvalues of $M_b(z)$ are shown in figure 1 (right). The distribution looks remarkable: a 'circle' centered in the origin bounds an outer annular halo where the eigenvalues appear in the same positions as those in the left figure. The inner region is void:



Figure 2. The same random matrix entries as in figure 1, with $\xi = 0.7$ and $\varphi = 0$ (left), $\xi = 1$ and $\varphi = 0$ (right).



Figure 3. Motion in the complex plane of the eigenvalues of a single non-Hermitian tridiagonal matrix of size n = 100 as the phase φ is changed in half of its range. The same matrix entries are used, with $\xi = 0.5$ (left) and $\xi = 1$ (right). Eigenvalues not belonging to the loop are seen to be fixed, corresponding to localized states. The loop becomes closed if the whole angular range is evaluated.

all the eigenvalues that were there before deformation ($\xi = 0$) have moved to the boundary circle. As |z| becomes larger, see figure 2, the circle enlarges as well, but the eigenvalues in the annular halo do not apparently move, until they are swept by the circle. For large ξ only the circle remains. This is not surprising: in the limit of large |z| the matrix $M_b(z)$ simplifies to bidiagonal. The eigenvalue equation can be solved explicitly and gives $E^n = z^n b_1 \cdots b_n$. Then the eigenvalues $E_k = |z| e^{(\ln |b|)} \exp i(\theta + 2\pi k/n)$ are equally spaced and lie on a circle of radius r (θ is an overall phase) such that $\log r = \xi + \langle \log |b| \rangle$.

Eigenvalues on the circle and in the halo respond differently to the phase $\varphi = \arg z$. As φ sweeps the Brillouin zone from 0 to $2\pi/n$, only the eigenvalues sitting on the circle move (and remain therein), while the outer ones do not have measurable changes at all. This is illustrated in figure 3, which also shows that an eigenvalue on the circle moves to the position



Figure 4. Eigenvalues in the complex plane of three different samples of size n = 400 (left) and n = 1200 (right). All matrices with $\xi = 0.5$ and $\varphi = 0$.

of a neighboring one as φ is increased by $2\pi/n$. By increasing the size *n* of the matrices, the 'circle' is seen to become independent of the sample and more regular (figure 4).

We obtained the same qualitative picture by replacing the uniform distribution of matrix elements in the unit disk with that in the unit square. Hereafter we limit ourselves to the disk because of its advantages due to explicit rotational invariance.

The phenomenon described has two interesting connections.

(1) It is analogous to what Hatano and Nelson [1] discovered for random tridiagonal Hermitian matrices (a_k real, $c_{k+1} = b_k^*$) where the undeformed eigenvalues ($\xi = 0$) are real. The deformation forces them to move into the complex plane and distribute themselves along a two-arc loop, with possible external wings of eigenvalues in the real axis (figure 5) that do not move, within numerical precision. The two-arc loop and wings of the Hermitian model correspond to the circle and annular halo of the non-Hermitian model discussed here.

(2) It recalls the disk-annulus transition of the spectral support of non-Hermitian models of full random matrices X with probability density $p(X) \propto \exp[-n\text{tr}V(XX^{\dagger})]$ (V is a polynomial). For such ensembles, Feinberg *et al* [9] proved a *single ring theorem*. It states that the support of the spectrum can only be a disk or an annulus.

In sections 2 and 3, we study the spectral density of the undeformed ensemble and the localization of eigenvectors, measured by the Lyapunov exponent or by the variance. In section 4, we explain the observed spectral features of the deformed ensemble by means of the argument principle of complex analysis and a spectral duality between the eigenvalues of $M(z^n)$ and those of the transfer matrix.

2. The spectrum of M

Since the matrix entries of *M* are chosen to be uniformly distributed in the unit complex disk, the average eigenvalue density of the ensemble, $\rho_0(E) = \left\langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta_2(E - E_i) \right\rangle$, depends on |E|.



Figure 5. Eigenvalues of a single Hatano–Nelson tridiagonal matrix of size n = 600, with $\xi = 1$, $b_k = c_k = 1$ and random numbers a_k uniformly distributed in (-3.5, 3.5). They belong to two arcs or two wings in the real axis, which are the residuate of the undeformed spectrum.



Figure 6. Eigenvalue density $\rho_0(|E|)$ of the undeformed ensemble (matrix size n = 1000, 100 samples). The dark line is obtained by smoothing the histogram data on local windows of 10 bins (out of 300). The plateau is fitted by the value 0.193(2).

(This figure is in colour only in the electronic version)

The eigenvalue equation

$$c_k u_{k-1} + a_k u_k + b_k u_{k+1} = E u_k \tag{4}$$

written for the component u_k with highest absolute value implies the inequality $|E| \le |a_k| + |b_k| + |c_k| \le 3$. Thus the disk that supports the density has a radius not exceeding 3; the numerical evidence is that it has length 2.

We diagonalized 100 matrices of size n = 1000 to obtain numerically the density of eigenvalues $\rho_0(|E|)$ shown in figure 6. The lowest moments $\mu_k = \left\langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_i |E_i|^k \right\rangle = 2\pi \int_0^2 dx x^{k+1} \rho_0(x)$ are also evaluated: $\mu_1 = 0.9107(12), \mu_2 = 0.9678(22), \mu_3 = 1.1327(36)$ and $\mu_4 = 1.4204(59)$.

3. The Lyapunov exponent

The numerical evaluation of the eigenvectors of M shows that they are strongly localized for all eigenvalues; indeed, for large matrix size, they decay exponentially (Anderson localization). The rate of decay is measured by the Lyapunov exponent, an asymptotic property of transfer matrices.

The transfer matrix of a realization *M* is the product of 2×2 random matrices:

$$t(E) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} b_k^{-1}(E-a_k) & -b_k^{-1}c_k \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (5)

Its eigenvalues can be written as $z_{\pm}^n = e^{n(\xi_{\pm} + i\varphi_{\pm})}$. For large *n* the exponents $\xi_{\pm}(E)$ become opposite: $\xi_{\pm} + \xi_{-} = \frac{1}{n} \log |\det t(E)| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\log |c_k| - \log |b_k|) \to 0$. According to the theory of random matrix products, for large *n* the positive exponent ξ_{\pm}

According to the theory of random matrix products, for large *n* the positive exponent ξ_+ becomes independent of *n* and the realization of randomness, and converges to the *Lyapunov exponent* of the matrix ensemble. The Lyapunov exponent can be evaluated by an extension of Thouless formula to non-Hermitian matrices [3, 10],

$$\gamma(E) = \int d^2 E' \rho_0(E') \log |E - E'| - \langle \log |b| \rangle, \tag{6}$$

where ρ_0 is the eigenvalue density of the ensemble of matrices *M*. Note that for complex spectra, the equation for γ implies the Poisson equation $\nabla^2 \gamma(E) = 2\pi \rho_0(E)$. Therefore, $\gamma(E)$ can be understood as the electrostatic potential generated by a charge distribution in the plane with density $\rho_0(E)$.

For a distribution of matrix entries that is uniform in the unit disk, it is $\langle \log |b| \rangle = -1/2$ and ρ_0 is rotation invariant. Then the integral can be simplified:

$$\gamma(|E|) = \log|E|\mathcal{N}_0(|E|) + 2\pi \int_{|E|}^{\infty} dE'E'\rho_0(E')\log E' + 1/2.$$
(7)

The integral $\int_0^{2\pi} \log |r - r' e^{i\varphi}| d\varphi = 2\pi \log \max(r, r')$ was used. $\mathcal{N}_0(|E|)$ is the fraction of the spectrum inside the disk of radius |E|. For |E| larger than the spectral radius it is

$$\gamma(|E|) = \log(|E|) + 1/2, \qquad |E| \ge 2.$$
 (8)

The Lyapunov exponent is an increasing function of |E|. Its numerical evaluation is shown in figure 7.

We checked numerically the exponential decay of eigenvectors with a rate given by $\gamma(|E|)$. If \vec{u} is an eigenvector of M, with components $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$, the numbers $|u_k|^2$ provide the probability distribution for the position of a particle in the lattice $1 \dots n$. Since the eigenvectors are peaked on small intervals, the variance of position has a clear meaning and we chose it to measure the localization:

$$\operatorname{var}[\vec{u}] = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_k|^2 (k - \overline{k})^2\right)^{1/2},\tag{9}$$

where $\overline{k} = \sum_{k} k |u_k|^2$ is the mean position of the particle.

We tested other measures of localization, such as the inverse participation ratio and the Shannon entropy. In all cases we found the same qualitative picture of the localized regime of our concern.

For an ideal state \vec{v} that is exponentially localized, $|v_k|^2 = (\tanh \gamma) e^{-2\gamma |k|} (\gamma n \gg 1)$, the variance is $\operatorname{var}[\vec{v}] = \sinh(1/\gamma)$. We use the same relation to compute a rate γ_a from the numerically evaluated variance of an eigenstate \vec{u}_a . In figure 8, we plot the numerical pairs



Figure 7. The Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(|E|)$ evaluated numerically (100 matrices of size n = 1000). The two curves are a quadratic fit near the origin, $0.2914(1) + 0.309(0)|E|^2$, and the exact analytic expression $\lg(|E|) + 1/2$ of γ for |E| > 2. The fit near the origin is consistent with the value found for $\rho_0(0)$ (via the Poisson equation).



Figure 8. Pairs ($|E_a|, \gamma_a$), where E_a are eigenvalues and γ_a are rates of exponential localization, for the eigenvectors of a matrix *M* of size n = 800 ($\xi = 0$). The continuous line is the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(|E|)$.

 $(|E_a|, \gamma_a)$ for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a single matrix *M* of size n = 800, together with the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(|E|)$, given by Thouless formula (7). The numerical data are consistent with the picture of exponential localization of eigenvectors.

4. Hole, halo and localization

As the parameter ξ is switched on, it modifies the corners of the matrix M, i.e. the boundary conditions in (4). In the transition from M to $M(z^n)$, one expects that the eigenvalues of



Figure 9. Variance of eigenvectors (*z*-axis) and corresponding eigenvalues (x = ReE, y = ImE) of a random matrix $M_b(e^{\xi})$ of size n = 800 and $\xi = \log 2$. The radius of the hole is approximately 1.16.

enough localized eigenstates do not change appreciably. An eigenstate $\vec{u} = \{u_k\}$ of $M(z^n)$ corresponds to an eigenstate $S\vec{u}$ of $M_b(z)$, with components $z^k u_k$. If $|u_k| \approx e^{-\gamma |k|}$ for large k, the factor $e^{k\xi}$ delocalizes it if $\gamma < \xi$. This simple argument by Hatano and Nelson indicates a threshold value $\gamma(|E|) = \xi$ at which eigenvalues must be drastically influenced by the deformation.

In figure 9, we plot the variances (z-axis) of the eigenvectors of a matrix $M_b(z = 2)$ of size n = 800, and the corresponding complex eigenvalues (horizontal plane). The boundary of the circular hole is populated by the eigenvectors which are delocalized.

The existence of an empty disk and a halo of fixed eigenvalues for the deformed ensemble thus reflects the localization properties of the eigenvectors of M as a function of |E|, i.e. the function $\gamma(|E|)$.

Proposition: in the large n limit, $M_b(e^{\xi+i\varphi})$ has no eigenvalues in the disk of radius r, where

$$\gamma(r) = \xi. \tag{10}$$

Proof: The hole in the spectrum of $M_b(z)$ can be understood via the *argument principle* of complex analysis: the number of zeros of the analytic function $f(E) = det[E - M_b(z)]$ inside a disk of radius *r* is equal to the variation of $arg f(E)/2\pi$ along the contour of the disk.

The function f(E) is related to the eigenvalues $z_{\pm}^{n}(E)$ of the transfer matrix t(E) by a duality identity [11, 12]:

$$\det[E - M_b(z)] = -\frac{1}{z^n} (b_1 \cdots b_n) \det[t(E) - z^n].$$
(11)

Then

$$\arg \det[E - M_b(e^{\xi + i\varphi})] = \operatorname{const} + \arg[e^{n(\xi_+ - \xi) + in(\varphi_+ - \varphi)} - 1] + \arg[e^{n(\xi_- - \xi) + i(\varphi_- - \varphi)} - 1].$$

Let us fix $\xi > 0$ and take the large *n* limit. Then, $\xi_+ > 0$ and $\xi_- < 0$; arg $[e^{n(\xi_+ - \xi) + in(\varphi_+ - \varphi)} - 1]$ equals $n(\varphi_+ - \varphi)$ if $\xi_+ > \xi$, and π if $\xi > \xi_+$; arg $[e^{n(\xi_- - \xi) + in(\varphi_- \varphi)} - 1] = \pi$ always. We also

8

identify $\xi_+(E)$ with the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(|E|)$. The variation of $\arg f(E)/2\pi$ along a circumference of radius *r* is zero if $\xi > \gamma(r)$.

Since $\gamma(0)$ is nonzero, there is a threshold value $\xi_{\min} \approx 0.291$ below which no hole opens in the spectral support.

5. Conclusions

The Hatano–Nelson deformation opens a hole in the spectrum of non-Hermitian tridiagonal random matrices with i.i.d. matrix elements. The eigenvalues that are swept to the boundary of the hole correspond to states that are no longer Anderson localized. This is explained in terms of a spectral duality, stability of the Lyapunov exponent and the argument principle.

Tridiagonal matrices with different strengths of randomness in the three diagonals would also show similar spectral features.

6. Acknowledgment

LGM wishes to thank Professor I Goldsheid for having inspired him for carrying out the present investigation, and for useful comments.

References

- [1] Hatano N and Nelson D R 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 570-3
- [2] Feinberg J and Zee A 1999 *Phys. Rev.* E **59** 6433–43
- [3] Derrida B, Jacobsen J L and Zeitak R 2000 J. Stat. Phys. 98 31-55
- [4] Goldsheid I Ya and Khoruzhenko B 2000 *Electron. J. Probab.* **5** 1–28
- [5] Holz D E, Orland H and Zee A 2003 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 3385-400
- [6] Cicuta G M, Contedini M and Molinari L 2000 J. Stat. Phys. 98 685–99
- [7] Bauer M, Bernard D and Luck J M 2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 2659–79
- [8] Nelson D R and Shnerb N M 1998 *Phys. Rev.* E **58** 1383–403
- [9] Feinberg J, Scalettaler R and Zee A 2001 J. Math. Phys. 42 5718-40
- [10] Goldsheid I Ya and Khoruzhenko B 2005 Isr. J. Math. 148 331-46
- [11] Molinari L G 2008 *Linear Algebr. Appl.* **429** 2221–6
- [12] Molinari L G 2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 265204